

USFS – Six Rivers National Forest

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant to verify response.
- #4 – Applicant to verify response.
- #5 – Applicant to verify response.
- #8b –The narrative does not support “5 to 19 times per year”. Only onsite education efforts are eligible for credit.
- #8c – The narrative does not support “Daily” selection.
- #9 – Applicant to verify response.
- #14 – The narrative does not support “Has engaged in collaborative processes with agencies...” selection.

Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – OHV Technician – Identify how this position relates to the project. Staff – Recreation Program Manager – Identify how this position relates to the project. Equipment Use Rate – Utility Truck Use Rate & Utility Truck Mileage – These two costs appear to be the same. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #2 – Provide additional details on “Potential trespass”. #4 – It is unclear how the project was developed with public input. Further details are needed. #5 – Identify how each partner will participate in the project. #6 – “Site design precludes the need for the above measures” is not an appropriate selection for this project. 	

Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – Visitor Info Specialist – This is an Indirect Cost. Staff – Forest Rec Officer – This is an Indirect Cost. Other – CCC Match – Unclear on the notes section. Provide additional details on the 25% match. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – It is unclear how the project was developed with public input. Further details are needed. #6 – The narrative does not address “Protecting water quality”. #8 – The narrative does not support a “Yes” response. 	

Ground Operations	G11-02-18-G03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff – 20 Person Hand Crew – It is not clear if this is the rate that a fire crew would be paid or if it is the standard rate of a hand crew. Further details are also needed regarding why fire crews are being used for this task. Other – YCC Match – This item is not identified as part of the project. Provide further details in the Project Description section. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #4 – It is unclear how the project was developed with public input. Further details are needed. #5 – The partnership with Youth Conservation Corps does not appear to be part of the project. 	

Restoration	G11-02-18-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contracts – “Other–Cost Share Agreement” – The applicant must provide details regarding this contract, explain how the cost was determined. Currently, there is insufficient information (i.e. no crew size, anticipated field days, vehicle usage, etc.) to determine if the costs are reasonable and eligible. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #6 – Applicant must explain selection. #7 – Narrative does not support the response. Applicant should identify public input specific to the project. #8 – Karuk Tribe is identified in the Project Cost Estimate as a contractor and does not qualify as a partner. Applicant should clarify role of the Mid Klamath Watershed Center. #10 – Narrative does not support the response. #11 – Project description does not identify the size of sensitive habitats to be restored by the project. 	